

Report on Race to the Top Funds


This report is submitted to the General Assembly per Tennessee Code Annotated § 49-1-616, with the purpose of detailing the amount of Race to the Top funds awarded to districts through grants. The report includes a brief overview of Race to the Top activities, descriptions of district grants, and a table of grants by district. Additional information may be found on the department's website

(http://www.tn.gov/education/about/fttt.shtml) or at the U.S. Department of Education's website (http://www2.ed.gov/programs/racetothetop/index.html).


Overview of Race to the Top: 2012-13

Tennessee's application for Race to the Top articulated a compelling vision for education in Tennessee. This vision brought together all districts from across the state and created policy conditions favorable to executing against this plan. Reaching the ambitious goals set in the plan dramatically changes the trajectory of our education system in the state and will make Tennessee the national leader in student achievement growth. By 2015, Tennessee seeks to be the fastest improving state in the nation, as measured by NAEP and ACT assessments, and is committed to continuing to close achievement gaps as we grow overall student achievement.

Tennessee's Race to the Top plan is a foundational component of our strategy to achieve the ambitious goals we have set, and its initiatives have become an integral part of the priorities of each division within the department of education. We have undertaken a significant reorganization of the agency to ensure that our human resources align with our top priorities, and have worked closely with district leaders to ensure that we are providing meaningful support as they undertake these bold reforms. As we have been executing against this ambitious plan, we have seen continued improvement in student outcomes.







These gains mean that nearly 91,000 additional students are at or above grade level in math, and nearly 52,000 additional students are at or above grade level in all science, as compared to 2010. In addition to the gains made on the Tennessee Comprehensive Assessment Program (TCAP), 2012-13 marked the first year that students in Tennessee performed above the national norm on EXPLORE and PLAN results, marking substantial growth over prior years and serving as an indicator of the progress we hope to see on ACT results in the coming years.

At the same time that we have been implementing significant changes in our education system and seeing student outcomes rise, educators are also growing more positive over time about their work, as measured by the Teaching, Empowering, Leading, and Learning (TELL) Survey administered through the state's Race to the Top grant. Tennessee educators responded more positively in 2013 than they did in 2011 to questions across all constructs of the survey. Of note, approximately 85 percent of educators indicated that they are recognized as educational experts and are trusted to make sound professional decisions.

The 2012-13 school year marked Tennessee's third year of Race to the Top implementation. During this year, the department focused on several key priorities for implementation and made significant progress against these priorities, including supporting schools and districts in transitioning to the new state standards, improving implementation of teacher and administrator evaluation, launching the Achievement School District, and restructuring regional offices to provide academic oriented support to districts.

The information below provides an overview of how funds were administered to districts, both through the direct LEA portion of the grant as well as additional competitive grants administered by the department.



Race to the Top Funding

The state of Tennessee was awarded approximately \$500 million through the Race to the Top grant, 50 percent of which flowed through directly to districts (**LEA Fund**). These funds were allocated to districts by law based on their Title 1 funding allocation. Annually, districts created a scope of work to document their plans for spending the funds which were then reviewed and approved by the state.

The other 50 percent of the funds were retained at the state level to execute a variety of initiatives as outlined in the state's application. Within these initiatives, many included competitive grants to districts to execute innovative efforts to bolster educational outcomes. The list below offers brief overviews of the competitive grants awarded to districts from the state's half of the funds.

- Supplemental Scope of Work Fund (Supplemental): The department made available \$8 million in supplemental funding to be awarded to districts that agreed to implement specific initiatives that advance the core purpose of the state's First to the Top plan including efforts across evaluation, high-quality standards, and strategic student assignment. The Supplemental Scope of Work Fund was optional to districts, and for those opting to participate, the \$8 million was awarded per the Title 1 funding allocation formula. Districts use the supplemental funding to support their LEA Scopes of Work activities in addition to the LEA Fund.
- Common Core State Standards ELA Pilot Grant (ELA Pilot): In 2012, the department supported districts interested in piloting the Common Core State Standards for ELA. Districts choosing to participate in the pilot appointed district teams to participate in state wide meetings to share lessons learned; implemented four practice writing assessments; had all teachers complete a state-developed online module; and aligned instructional materials for literature and reading and implemented at least one model unit district-wide.
- Competitive Supplemental Fund (CSF): The Competitive Supplemental Fund competitively distributed \$1.5 million over four years to the 20 percent of LEAs receiving the smallest share of formula funds through Race to the Top's LEA Fund. The eligible LEAs applied to use these funds for three purposes: to develop alternative compensation plans, to develop job-embedded professional development plans linked to educator evaluation, and to turn around low performing schools, in order to increase educator effectiveness and student achievement in their districts.
- **Focus Schools Grant (Focus)**: This project provided competitive grants to Tennessee's Focus Schools to implement researched-based tools and strategies to focus on closing achievement gaps. Schools not receiving a grant are assigned an academic specialist who works with the school to implement initiatives aimed at closing achievement gaps.
- Innovation Acceleration Fund (IAF): The Innovation Acceleration Fund is a competitive grant for
 districts to develop and implement performance-based compensation plans. Districts receiving the
 competitive grant are expected to develop and implement a performance-based compensation system
 that encourages and rewards educators for contribution to student learning, evidence of professional
 skill, ongoing professional learning, contribution to ongoing school development, and evidence of
 professional leadership.
- Teacher and Leader Residency Programs (Residency): The Teacher and Leader Residency Program offers a competitive grant project for interested LEAs to develop and/or expand teacher and principal residency programs. Teacher and principal residency programs are need-based and designed to fit a variety of purposes, including pre-service recruitment and preparation. Grants could also be awarded for residency programs that provide advanced training/focused professional development, or programs that utilize proven veteran professionals as exemplars/mentors. Through this initiative, the state awarded four-year grants to four residency programs.



- Renewal Schools Grant (Renewal): This project provided Tennessee's Renewal Schools (Corrective Action and Restructuring I) targeted technical assistance and researched-based tools to improve student achievement and move the schools to high performing levels for all students.
- Reward Schools Grant (Reward): Through Race to the Top, Tennessee created a Reward School Ambassador Program. Ambassadors are highly effective teachers from the state's top schools. They were nominated by their schools and selected for this year-long, paid position through a competitive application and interview process. Through this program, the state aims to leverage the talent of our top teachers, spark the development of robust partnerships between schools, and spread best practices. The state identified a cohort of 15 Reward Ambassadors.
- Leadership TNLEAD (TNLEAD): The TNLEAD innovation grants fund partnerships that develop or
 replicate innovative and high-impact leadership programs aimed at producing positive outcomes for
 educators and students. Grants can focus on either leadership development or enhancing practices
 for identifying promising leaders, giving districts a unique opportunity to study, share, and ultimately
 scale up new and successful leadership models.
- **Portfolio Implementation Grant (Portfolio):** After the State Board of Education approved the portfolio model as a growth measure in Fine Arts, the department offered a grant to districts interested in implementing the portfolio model in 2012. The grant covered the subscription to the portfolio system, an evidence collection tool of student progress and growth. Additional districts participated in the portfolio model, but were able to cover the expenses from other funding sources.
- Teacher Leader Council (TLC): The Teacher Leader Council was created to develop adaptable teacher leadership models for implementation in districts across the state by pulling together highly effective district leadership teams representing the geographic, socioeconomic, and demographic diversity of all three grand divisions of the state. The six districts selected to serve on the Council created different, innovative teacher leader models aligned to their specific strategic plans and reflecting the educator professional learning needs most closely tied to increasing student achievement and growth.
- Innovative Education Network (IEN): Building from an analysis of the available technology across the state and districts' interests and concerns in technology, the department launched the Innovative Educator Network as a cohort experience of fifty highly-effective educators to delve into the development and implementation of personalized learning strategies. The cohort's objectives are to ensure technology is used wisely in schools to promote technology fluency and maximize strong instruction, to promote personalized learning efforts that draw on educator skills and technology to tailor educational experiences, and to learn, develop, and share successful models of technology integration and personalized learning with schools and districts across the state.



Name Chy			FIRST TO	ITTE TOT										
Research S	School District	LEA Fund	Supplemental	ELA Pilot	CSF	Focus	IAF	Residency	Renewal	Reward	TNLEAD	Portfolio	TLC	IEN
New Cycok Institute S 1985,00 S S	Alamo City	\$ 80,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ordering Country	Alcoa City	\$ 229,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
There City	Alvin C York Institute	\$ 198,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 137,622	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Leeford County	Anderson County	\$ 1,414,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,617
Tells Chy 3 75,500 5 5,260 5	Athens City	\$ 595,500	\$ 33,708	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interior County 9 72,2000 5 93,259 5	Bedford County	\$ 1,697,500	\$ 118,360	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Netser County	Bells City	\$ 75,500	\$ 5,260	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Second County S 1,981,500 S 128,727 S 2,500 S 12,000 S 0	Benton County	\$ 722,000	\$ 39,259	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tareller of SSD	Bledsoe County	\$ 512,500	\$ 32,553	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Facility County S 1,460,000 S 101,664 S 2,500 S S 470,000 S S S S S S S S S	Blount County	\$ 1,981,500	\$ 128,727	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,295
Amphel County	Bradford SSD	\$ 172,000	\$ 8,243	\$ -	\$ 117,462	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Campelol County S 2,252,500 S 120,807 S S S S S S S S S	Bradley County	\$ 1,460,000	\$ 101,664	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 470,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Amon County \$ 380,000 \$ 23,875 \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Bristol City	\$ 738,000	\$ 49,712	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 130,280	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Arrell County \$ 1,723,500 \$ 103,721 \$ 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	Campbell County	\$ 2,252,500	\$ 120,807	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Arter County	Cannon County	\$ 380,000	\$ 23,875	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
The step County S 604,500 S 46,330 S S S S S S S S S	Carroll County	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
The ster County	Carter County	\$ 1,723,500	\$ 103,721	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tailborne County S 1,552,000 S - S - S - S - S - S 3,000 S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S - S	Cheatham County	\$ 604,500	\$ 46,350	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
County S 398,000 S 24,157 S S S S S S S S S	Chester County	\$ 531,000	\$ 33,627	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Intereland City S 1,995,000 S 88,073 S S S S S S S S S	Claiborne County	\$ 1,552,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Section Sect	Clay County	\$ 398,000	\$ 24,157	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cocke County	Cleveland City	\$ 1,395,000	\$ 88,073	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Coffee County	Clinton City	\$ 231,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Arcickett County \$ 302,000 \$ 21,039 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Cocke County	\$ 2,168,500	\$ 119,247	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Cumberland County \$ 1,686,000 \$.	Coffee County	\$ 613,500	\$ 55,112	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Davidson County	Crockett County	\$ 302,000	\$ 21,039	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dayton City \$ 244,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Cumberland County	\$ 1,686,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Decatur County \$ 409,500 \$ - \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ 6,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Davidson County	\$ 30,297,000	\$ 1,612,309	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 135,000	\$ 70,000	\$ 2,008,600	\$ 4,220,573	\$ 264,777	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,000	\$ 30,386
DeKalb County \$ 670,500 \$ - \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ 6,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Dayton City	\$ 244,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sickson County \$ 1,354,500 \$ - \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Decatur County	\$ 409,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dyer County \$ 497,000 \$ 36,584 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	DeKalb County	\$ 670,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Dyersburg City \$ 985,500 \$ 64,570 \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ 12,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ 28,000 \$ 33,234 clizabethton City \$ 762,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Dickson County	\$ 1,354,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Strike S	Dyer County	\$ 497,000	\$ 36,584	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,234
Actional City \$ 146,000 \$ 7,410 \$ - \$ 85,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Dyersburg City	\$ 985,500	\$ 64,570	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,000	\$ 33,234
Payette County \$ 1,069,000 \$ 51,692 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Elizabethton City	\$ 762,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Payetteville City \$ 187,000 \$ 13,305 \$ - \$ 70,000 \$ 400,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Etowah City	\$ 146,000	\$ 7,410	\$ -	\$ 85,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Fentress County \$ 867,500 \$ 48,306 \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Fayette County	\$ 1,069,000	\$ 51,692	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Fayetteville City	\$ 187,000	\$ 13,305	\$ -	\$ 70,000	\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
ranklin County \$ 954,500 \$ - \$ 2,500 \$ - \$ 804,000 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$	Fentress County	\$ 867,500	\$ 48,306	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Franklin County	\$ 954,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 804,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



		FIRST TO	HE TOP										
School District	LEA Fund	Supplemental	ELA Pilot	CSF	Focus	IAF	Residency	Renewal	Reward	TNLEAD	Portfolio	TLC	IEN
Franklin SSD	\$ 414,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 123,949	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Gibson County	\$ 382,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Giles County	\$ 806,000	\$ 54,509	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Grainger County	\$ 802,000	\$ 54,890	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 104,939	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Greene County	\$ 1,709,000	\$ 107,767	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 174,900	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Greeneville City	\$ 991,000	\$ 47,996	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Grundy County	\$ 999,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hamblen County	\$ 2,063,000	\$ 141,645	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hamilton County	\$ 10,921,500	\$ 714,718	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 478,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hancock County	\$ 578,500	\$ 32,161	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hardeman County	\$ 966,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hardin County	\$ 1,346,500	\$ 69,399	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hawkins County	\$ 1,879,500	\$ 108,883	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Haywood County	\$ 933,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Henderson County	\$ 620,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Henry County	\$ 701,000	\$ 47,574	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,000	\$ -
Hickman County	\$ 810,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Hollow Rock - Bruceton	\$ 146,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Houston County	\$ 338,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Humboldt City	\$ 543,500	\$ 28,271	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 187,351	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Humphreys County	\$ 491,000	\$ 34,938	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Huntingdon SSD	\$ 281,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Jackson County	\$ 460,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Jeffers on County	\$ 1,638,000	\$ 98,094	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Johnson City	\$ 1,502,500		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 127,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Johnson County	\$ 826,000	\$ 59,383	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Kingsport City	\$ 1,645,500	\$ 102,625	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 400,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -
Knox County	\$ 13,294,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 75,000	\$ 4,650,000	\$ -	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 104,674	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150,146
Lake County	\$ 397,000	\$ 21,810	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,814
Lauderdale County	\$ 1,962,500	\$ 87,488	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 24,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,800,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lawrence County	\$ 1,505,500	\$ 95,175	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 124,835	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,173
Lebanon SSD	\$ 474,500	\$ 34,519	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lenoir City	\$ 292,000	\$ 23,195	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16,551
Lewis County	\$ 462,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lexington City	\$ 168,500	\$ 13,402	\$ 2,500	\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ 741,160	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Lincoln County	\$ 662,500	\$ 46,030	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 110	\$ -	\$ -
Loudon County	\$ 588,000	\$ 48,550	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Macon County	\$ 767,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Madison County	\$ 4,273,500	\$ 239,400	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Manchester City	\$ 202,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -



		FIRST TO T											
School District	LEA Fund	Supplemental	ELA Pilot	CSF	Focus	IAF	Residency	Renewal	Reward	TNLEAD	Portfolio	TLC	IEN
Marion County	\$ 764,000	\$ 62,844	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Marshall County	\$ 683,500	\$ 53,993	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Maryville City	\$ 498,500	\$ 32,841	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Maury County	\$ 2,284,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 618,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
McKenzie SSD	\$ 243,000	\$ 12,799	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
McMinn County	\$ 1,114,000	\$ 70,790	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
McNairy County	\$ 988,000	\$ 59,165	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Meigs County	\$ 551,000	\$ 32,776	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114,353	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Memphis City	\$ 50,825,448	\$ -	\$ 5,000	\$ -	\$ 183,000	\$ -	\$ 3,991,400	\$ 2,168,565	\$ 102,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Milan SSD	\$ 356,500	\$ 29,150	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Monroe County	\$ 1,154,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 600,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Montgomery County	\$ 4,988,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 403,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 784,227	\$ -	\$ 35,000	\$ -
Moore County	\$ 126,500	\$ 8,878	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Morgan County	\$ 859,000	\$ 40,784	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	\$ -
Murfreesboro City	\$ 1,284,000	\$ 88,598	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Newport City	\$ 486,000	\$ 32,511	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Oak Ridge City	\$ 713,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Obion County	\$ 495,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Oneida SSD	\$ 408,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Overton County	\$ 707,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 9,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Paris SSD	\$ 346,000	\$ 24,579	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	\$ 360,000	\$ 24,363	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Perry County	+,		7	т		† ·	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Pickett County		*	\$ 2,500	\$ 70,000	т	T		1.					-
Polk County		\$ 36,790	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Putnam County	\$ 2,998,500	\$ 141,373	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ 3,100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,075
Rhea County	\$ 874,500	\$ 66,224	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Richard City	\$ 44,500		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Roane County	\$ 1,648,000	\$ 86,001	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 606,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Robertson County	\$ 1,382,000	\$ 98,610	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 612,562		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 30,725
Rogers ville City	\$ 112,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 272,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Rutherford County	\$ 3,644,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 9,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Scott County	\$ 977,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sequatchie County	\$ 649,000	\$ 36,545	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 12,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sevier County	\$ 2,314,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Shelby County	\$ 23,043,052	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 200,000	\$ 276,341	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 117,701
Smith County	\$ 516,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
South Carroll SSD	\$ 64,000	\$ 3,820	\$ 2,500	\$ 275,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Stewart County	\$ 341,000	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sullivan County	\$ 2,161,500	\$ 145,999	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 9,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 34,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 28,000	\$ 17,341
Sumner County	\$ 3,302,000	\$ 219,935	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 266,537	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 46,087
Sweetwater City	\$ 457,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tennessee School for the Blind	\$ 170,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tennessee School for the Deaf	\$ 177,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tipton County	\$ 2,057,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Trenton SSD	\$ 315,000	\$ 21,538	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Trousdale County	\$ 198,000	\$ 14,936	\$ 2,500	\$ 150,000	\$ -	\$ 904,443	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Tullahoma City	\$ 608,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 225,850	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 150	\$ -	\$ -
Unicoi County	\$ 465,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Union City	\$ 506,500	\$ -	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Union County	\$ 966,500	\$ 68,328	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Van Buren County	\$ 228,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 100,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Warren County	\$ 1,442,000	\$ 110,608	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Washington County	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 87,557		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 69,232
Wayne County	\$ 555,500	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Weakley County	\$ 1,051,500	\$ 62,270		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
West Carroll SSD	\$ 342,000	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	\$ 55,000	7	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
White County	\$ 985,500	\$ 57,544	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Williamson County	\$ 331,500	\$ 57,544	\$ -	\$ 99,092	\$ 6,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 141,405	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Wilson County	\$ 916,000	\$ 65,907	\$ -	\$ 99,092	\$ 9,000		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 141,405	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
·			7										\$ -
Achievement School District	\$ -	\$ 127,869	\$ 2,500	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	- د